[29th October 1935

Mr. P. V. KRISHNAYYA CHOUDARI:—"Out of the ten candidates who have been selected for the posts of sub-inspectors for the five years from the year 1930 to 1934 in the Guntur district, only four non-Brahman Hindus have been selected. May I know in what proportion the non-Brahman candidates are selected as compared with other caste candidates and why the proportion of non-Brahman Hindus is so poor?"

The Hon. Rao Bahadur A. T. PANNIRSELVAM:—"Probably the non-Brahmans of Guntur district are too well off to seek service in the Police department."

Representations for opening an outpost police station in Elampillai village, Salem district.

*231 *Q.*—Diwan Bahadur S. ELLAPPA CHETTIYAR: Will the Hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether any mahazar was received by the Inspector-General of Police from the residents of Elampillai and other neighbouring villages of Salem taluk for opening an outpost Police station in the village; and

(*b*) if so, what orders have been passed in the matter?

A.—(*a*) No.
(*b*) Does not arise.

Land Revenue Administration

Posting of outsiders to the Revenue department in Anantapur district.

*232 *Q.*—Mr. G. RAMESWARA RAO: Will the Hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state whether persons from other districts are being brought into Anantapur district for posts in the Revenue department, such as Sub-Magistrates and Deputy Tahsildars?

A.—Since 1929 three persons have been appointed from other districts to the Madras Revenue Subordinate Service in the Anantapur district. One of these was transferred in 1929 in exchange for a Tahsildar in the Anantapur district. The other two—one, a member of the scheduled classes and the other, a Christian—were appointed as Deputy Tahsildars in 1935, as candidates belonging to those communities were not available in the Anantapur district for appointment according to the communal turn.

Reclassification of lands between one settlement and another on the basis of improvements effected by the ryots.

*233 *Q.*—Mr. P. V. KRISHNAYYA CHOUDARI: Will the Hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Government have issued any order for altering the classification of lands for assessment between two settlements on the basis of the improvements done to the lands by the ryots between two settlements;

29th October 1935]

(b) whether it is a fact that the Government of India have exempted improvements in lands after one settlement from assessment;

(c) whether this Government consulted the Board of Revenue in this matter; and if so, what their opinion was; and

(d) what are the reasons for the issue of this order by the Government?

A.—(a) No. Lands are, where necessary, reclassified at resettlement in accordance with the orders passed on the several scheme reports to which the hon. Member may refer.

(b) The hon. Member is referred to paragraph 20 of the Resolution of the Government of India printed at page 20 of the book 'The Land Revenue Policy of the Government of India.'

(c) & (d) Do not arise.

Mr. P. V. KRISHNAYYA CHOUDARI :—" May I know whether the Government have not issued, either by way of a confidential circular or in any other manner, any notification stating that Government have got powers to reclassify the lands between one settlement and another? "

The Hon. Mr. C. A. SOUTER :—" Not that I am aware of."

Diwan Bahadur T. A. RAMALINGAM CHETTIYAR :—" May I ask whether the Government are aware that in Malabar reclassification was resorted to? "

The Hon. Mr. C. A. SOUTER :—" Malabar was reclassified under a notification which was issued before the settlement took place."

Diwan Bahadur T. A. RAMALINGAM CHETTIYAR :—" May I ask whether, after the settlement, there has been any reclassification or not? I am not asking about the present policy of the Government."

The Hon. Mr. C. A. SOUTER :—" I want notice."

Applications from the proprietors of full inam villages in Anantapur district for seasonal remission of the jodi payable in 1934–35.

* 234 Q.—Mr. G. RAMESWARA RAO : Will the Hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether any applications for seasonal remissions were received from proprietors of full inam villages in Anantapur district regarding the jodi payable in 1934–35; and

(b) What orders, if any, have been passed thereon?

A.—(a) Yes.

(b) Two were forwarded to the Collector of Anantapur district. The Government considered that no action was necessary in regard to the others.